

FILED
07-28-2025
CIRCUIT COURT
DANE COUNTY, WI
2025CF001704

JSL IC IA 7/28/25 130
Assign to Branch

STATE OF WISCONSIN CIRCUIT COURT DANE COUNTY

STATE OF WISCONSIN
Plaintiff,

Ref. Agency: Sun Prairie Police
Department

vs.

DA Case No.: 2025DA007057
Assigned DA/ADA:
Agency Case No.: SNPD 25-10334
Court Case No.: **25CF** ____
ATN:

**ROBERT EDWARD GILKEY-
MEISEGEIER**

2016 Tori Frost Dr
Madison, WI 53718
DOB: 11/01/1994
Sex/Race: M/B
Eye Color: Brown
Hair Color: Black
Height: 5 ft 09 in
Weight: 170 lbs
Alias:

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

For Official Use

Defendant,

The below-named complaining witness being first duly sworn states the following:

Count 1: SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A CHILD

The above-named defendant on or about Friday, May 16, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did persuade a child to engage in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of recording or displaying in any way the conduct, contrary to sec. 948.05(1)(a) and (2p)(a), 939.50(3)(c) Wis. Stats., a Class C Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than forty (40) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617(1), upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least five years.

Count 2: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Friday, May 16, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and knew that the child was under the age of 18,

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

Count 3: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Friday, May 16, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and knew that the child was under the age of 18, contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

Count 4: SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF A CHILD

The above-named defendant on or about Sunday, May 25, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did persuade a child to engage in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of recording or displaying in any way the conduct, contrary to sec. 948.05(1)(a) and (2p)(a), 939.50(3)(c) Wis. Stats., a Class C Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than forty (40) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617(1), upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least five years.

Count 5: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Sunday, May 25, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and knew that the child was under the age of 18, contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

Count 6: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Sunday, June 1, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and reasonably should have known that the child was under the age of 18, contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

Count 7: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Sunday, June 1, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and reasonably should have known that the child was under the age of 18, contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

Count 8: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Sunday, June 1, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and reasonably should have known that the child was under the age of 18, contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

Count 9: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Sunday, June 1, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and reasonably should have known that the child

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

was under the age of 18, contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

Count 10: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Sunday, June 1, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and reasonably should have known that the child was under the age of 18, contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

Count 11: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Sunday, June 1, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and reasonably should have known that the child was under the age of 18, contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

Count 12: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Sunday, June 1, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and reasonably should have known that the child was under the age of 18, contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

Count 13: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Sunday, June 1, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and reasonably should have known that the child was under the age of 18, contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

Count 14: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Sunday, June 1, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and reasonably should have known that the child was under the age of 18, contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

Count 15: POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

The above-named defendant on or about Sunday, June 1, 2025, in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin, did, having attained the age of 18, knowingly possess recording(s) of a child engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and reasonably should have known that the child was under the age of 18, contrary to sec. 948.12(1m) and (3)(a), 939.50(3)(d) Wis. Stats., a Class D Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000), or imprisoned not more than twenty five (25) years, or both.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute 973.042(2), upon conviction for a crime under 948.05 or 948.12 and the defendant is at least 18 years of age at the time of the commission of the crime, the Court shall impose a surcharge of \$500.00 for each image or each copy of an image, as defined in 973.042(1), associated with the crime. The court shall determine the number of images or copies of images associated with the crime by a preponderance of the evidence and without a jury.

And furthermore, invoking the provisions of Wisconsin Statute Section 939.617, upon conviction the Court shall impose a bifurcated sentence including a term of initial confinement for at least three years.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

FACTS:

Your complainant is a Court Officer with the Sun Prairie Police Department and for this complaint is relying on the written police report(s) submitted by Sun Prairie Detective(s) Cody Ennis, Daniel Enger, Gary Nichols, Makenzie Miller, Detective Sergeant Chris Pederson and Lieutenant Raymond Thomson.

Initial Information

Detective Ennis reports the following on Thursday, May 29, 2025, School Resource Officer Shamika Anderson with the Sun Prairie Police Department was informed of a female student having sexual contact with a 19-year-old former male student. This information was provided while at Sun Prairie West High School, located in the 2800 block of Ironwood Drive in Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin. Witness 8 informed Officer Anderson Child 1 was having sexual contact with Witness 1.

Witness 1 had sent Child 9 (17 years old) Snapchat audio recording that disclosed Child 1 was also having sexual contact with Sun Prairie West High School staff. These Snapchat audio recordings were provided to Officer Anderson by Witness 3.

On Friday, May 30, 2025, Officer Anderson listened to the Snapchat audio-video recording of Witness 1. Officer Anderson confirmed Witness 1 disclosed Child 1 having sexual contact with Sun Prairie West High School Staff employees.

Witness 1's messages were sent in response to his concerns that rumors had been spread about sexual contact between him and Child 1. Witness 1's messages included statements that Child 1 had been "sucking teacher's dicks," "in the ISS (In School Suspension) room. Witness 1 went on to identify one teacher as ' Rob,' (verbally identified as Sun Prairie West High School (SPWHS) Dean of Students, **ROBERT GILKEY-MEISEGEIER**, the defendant hereinafter who is 30 years old).

Witness 1's messages also included a suggestion that another staff member was involved in sexual contact with Child 1. During the investigation, the other teacher was identified as Witness 2.

Detective Cody Ennis spoke briefly to Witness 8. who said earlier that day, she had broached the subject of Child 1 engaging in sexual contact with the defendant. When asked if "something happened" between Child 1 and the defendant, Child 1 asked Witness 8 "If I tell you, will you tell anyone?" Eventually, after several seconds of hesitation. Child 1 answered "yes" to Witness 8's question. Child 1 did not elaborate on what the contact was.

On Friday, May 30, 2025, Detective Enger spoke with Witness 4. Witness 4 was told that Witness 1 had also made statements towards Child 1 that if she says anything about him, he will tell people about "Rob and [Witness 2]."

On Friday, May 20, 2025, Detective Enger interviewed Witness 8. Witness 8 advised that yesterday, Thursday, May 29, 2025, in the commons at school, she saw Child 1 and could tell she was ready to cry. Witness 8 asked Child 1 what was going on. Child 1 threw her bag and told Witness 8 she didn't know how she was going to explain this to her dad.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

Witness 8 then called for Witness 3 and advised her that Victim 1 was upset. Witness 3 told Witness 8 that all the girls were being weird today. Witness 3 was told by the girls that Victim 1 was messing with an older guy, but they would not tell her about it.

Child 9 then came to Witness 8 and Witness 3 to show them a video when Victim 1 was not around. She advised Witness 1 was sending voice messages and Snapchat messages. Witness 1 told Victim 1 in the messages that if she didn't say her sexual contact with him was a lie, he was going to tell people about the staff members.

Witness 8 advised she spoke with Victim 1 yesterday and asked her if anything happened between her and staff members. Victim 1 stated "Ewww no."

At approximately 2:00 p.m. Witness 8 then sought out Witness 2, who she knew was accused of having sexual contact with Victim 1 by Witness 1 in the recording she listened to. Witness 8 told Witness 2 what was going on and about the accusation. Witness 2 told Witness 8 that Victim 1 had previously disclosed to him information about doing things with the defendant.

On Friday, May 30, 2025, Witness 8 again contacted Witness 2, who "disclosed a lot of things." Witness 2 told her the defendant and Victim 1 had been talking for a while. Victim 1 had sent the defendant photographs on Instagram, and the defendant asked her to send him more.

Victim 1 also told Witness 2 that she did do sexual things with the defendant in the school. The defendant would watch cameras to see if anyone was coming. Witness 4 confirmed the defendant had access to live surveillance camera system from his office.

Victim 1 also told Witness 2 that something happened in the defendant's car the school parking lot. Victim 1 initially told Witness 2 it only happened one time. She later told him it happened more than one time. Victim 1 also showed Witness 2 messages she received from the defendant, however Witness 8 did not know what the messages were.

Witness 8 then advised she knew the defendant had a Facebook account. As of yesterday, she noticed he no longer had the Facebook account. Witness 8 told Witness 2 about the defendant's Facebook account disappearing. Witness 2 stated that Facebook and Instagram are connected. The defendant's account got flagged for inappropriate photographs.

Child 1 also told Witness 2 she started to contact the defendant by ending a photograph of herself. The defendant then asked for more photographs.

On Friday, May 31, 2025, during lunch, Witness 8 talked to Child 1. Witness 8 told Child 1 she knew about what was going on. Child 1 asked her who she was going to tell. She asked who she would tell if she said it happened, and asked if it would go away if she said it didn't happen.

Witness 8 asked Child 1 if anything happened between her and the defendant. Child 1 stated yes. She asked if Child 1 still had the messages between them. Child 1 stated she deleted everything. Child 1 was worried that the defendant was going to get in to trouble, get fired or go to jail.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

Previous School Investigation

On Friday, May 30, 2025, Detective Enger again spoke with Witness 4 and asked her if there was a previous investigation involving the defendant. Witness 4 advised throughout the 2023 – 2024 school year, there were rumors throughout the student body of the defendant having an inappropriate relationship with student Child 2 (17 years old at the time of this interview). Witness 4 stated it was never investigated because no student would substantiate anything happening between them when talked to. Witness 4 spoke with the defendant about the rumors and was told by the defendant the rumors were “bullshit.”

Witness 4 referenced a tip which was submitted to the school in March or April of 2025. The tip included claims the defendant would close the blinds to his office when Child 2 was inside and frequently exchange text messages with her. The tip mentioned the defendant and Child 2 had been seen together at Dunkin' Donuts. The tip said the defendant was manipulating and grooming Child 2.

Both the defendant and Child 2 denied the allegations; however, the defendant was given directives to not have students one-on-one in his office and if it was necessary to have one-on-one meetings with students, the door to his office must be open.

On June 2, 2025, Witness 9 provided Sergeant Pederson with the previous tip about Child 2 and the defendant in April 2025. The tip read:

"I am writing to express concerns about the safety and well-being of a student at Sun Prairie West High School, [Child 2].... I believe she is being subjected inappropriate behavior by Robert Gilkey Meisegeier, the dean of the school.

It has come to my attention that Robert been following [Child 2] on multiple social media accounts and is frequently seen in presence, often in his office. Notably, he makes a point of closing the blinds or rushing her out when other staff members are nearby. This behavior raises serious concerns about the nature of their relationship. There have also been ongoing rumors and hearsay among students, which complicate efforts to fully understand the situation.

I am aware that Robert and [Child 2] communicate regularly via text messages. There have been instances where [Child 2] has reportedly asked for his advice on what to wear to school, which I find to be disturbing. Furthermore, Robert has acted as her primary means of transportation, and there are significant gaps in understanding about what occurs during these rides.

Additionally, Robert has purchased several items for [Child 2] and has been seen with her at Dunkin' Donuts in his vehicle. Given these facts, I am deeply concerned about the appropriateness of their relationship. I believe [Child 2] may deny these occurrences, as it seems Robert has manipulated and groomed her to the point where she may not recognize the situation as inappropriate.

One specific incident that raised alarm occurred on April 2nd, 2025, when a group of girls and [Child 2] became involved in a conflict. When the situation deescalated, Robert came down looking to see what the commotion was, in which instigators seemingly aware of the relationship between him and [Child 2] decided to tease Robert saying "[Child 2] was getting beat up outside." Which caused Robert to rush outside to intervene. After the conflict was

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

defused, Robert appeared visibly upset and reports suggest he retaliated by threatening the students involved and attempting to single out bystanders by assigning them Out-of-School or In-School Suspension.

While this particular incident is concerning, my primary worry is the ongoing nature of Robert's behavior and its negative impact on [Child 2]. It has become increasingly difficult for students to feel comfortable in his presence, and his interactions with a [Child 2] seem to be part of a pattern of inappropriate and unsettling behavior. I also empathize with [Child 2], who appears to be under significant emotional and psychological pressure, with Robert constantly hovering nearby.

I urge the administration to investigate this matter thoroughly, as the atmosphere at Sun Prairie West High School is becoming uncomfortable for many students, and the well-being of [Child 2] seems to be at risk. It is essential that we address these concerns promptly and ensure that all students are in a safe and supportive environment.

Thank you for your attention to this serious matter. I trust that appropriate steps will be taken to investigate these allegations and provide the necessary support for those involved.

I am a senior at Sun Prairie West who has been uncomfortable for years now, and I wish to no longer hold my tongue in hopes to create a safer environment for future students."

On June 5, 2025, Detective Sergeant Pederson met with Witness 5 from the Sun Prairie Area School District office. Witness 5 provided details of the human resources investigation involving the defendant. Detective Sergeant Pederson learned the defendant denied taking Child 2 to Dunkin' Donuts, as the tip alleged, and denied communicating with students via text or unapproved social media applications. The defendant confirmed he had given Child 2 rides home from basketball games; however, this was done so with her mother's permission.

Detective Sergeant Pederson learned there was no formal investigation file or documentation other than an email string involving the anonymous tip.

Detective Sergeant Pederson contacted Child Protective Services and learned no reports were generated with the following students:

Child 1, born in 2008
Child 2, born in 2007
Child 3, born in 2009
Child 4, born in 2008
Child 5, born in 2009

Witness 4 advised the same rumors of Child 2 and the defendant continued to come up throughout the 2024 – 2025 school year. In April, 2025, the school district received an anonymous report concerning the relationship. The complaint was investigated by the school district human resources department, not reported to Dane County Human Services or the Sun Prairie Police Department, and was closed as unsubstantiated.

Staff Response

Officer Anderson interviewed Witness 3 who confirmed she was made aware of the allegations involving Child 1 and the defendant on Thursday, May 29, 2025. The following day, Witness 3

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

reported she went to the defendant's office that morning to make him aware of the allegations. During the conversation with the defendant, Witness 3 "air dropped" the video containing allegations made by Witness 1 to the defendant's cellular phone. Witness 3 did not report the allegations.

Statement from Witness 1

On May 30, 2025, Detective Enger and Detective Ennis interviewed Witness 1. Witness 1 stated in the middle to the end of April 2025, Child 1 called him and asked what it meant when you try to text someone and the account is unavailable. Witness 1 told Child 1 it meant the account was suspended.

They then md in person, and Child 1 then told him if she told him about it, he could not tell anyone. Child 1 showed Witness 1 her cellphone and it was "Coach Rob" (the defendant). Witness 1 asked if she was messing with the defendant. Child 1 said yes, and she sent him some videos and her account got banned.

Witness 1 asked Child 1 why she did that. Child 1 stated she gave the defendant "head" in the ISS room. That's how they started talking from there on. Witness 1 stated it started off his with his Instagram account getting banned, and her doing sexual things with him.

When Child 1 showed Witness 1 her cellphone, he saw some "twerk videos." One of them, she didn't have anything on. He stated it was three videos. One she had clothes off, two she had clothes on. He advised Child 1 swiped through the account and he could see them.

Detective Enger asked what account this was that she was showing him. Witness 1 advised it was "Coach R... I don't know what account. Apparently she has multiple IG accounts." Witness 1 confirmed it was an Instagram account.

He stated Coach Rob has a new Instagram account. which he had a screenshot of and provided. The defendant's other Instagram account was suspected for 182 days. On the account it said "child safety" or child something. Witness 2 clarified that Child 1 screenshot the defendant's account and showed Witness 1 the screenshot displaying that information

Witness 1 stated he only saw a second of the video of Child 1 not wearing any clothes, but she was twerking at first. Witness 1 was able to view her vagina on the video. He recognized the video was taken in her apartment bathroom due to them living in the same apartment building and all the bathrooms looking the same.

Witness 1 then stated the defendant found out. After Witness 1 learning this information from Child 1, he told his best friend, Child 2. Child 2 then told the defendant.

Witness 1 stated Child 2 is close with the defendant. Child 1 then called Witness 1 last Thursday, May 22, 2025, on Snapchat. Child 1 asked who he told, and he said he didn't tell anyone. Child 1 stated the defendant found out. He pulled her into his office and told her Witness 1 told someone about what they did.

Defendant's Statement on June 1, 2025

On Sunday, June 1, 2025, Detective Enger interviewed the defendant at his residence in the 2000 block of Tori frost Drive, City of Madison, Dane County, Wisconsin. The defendant's wife,

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

Witness 10, was present during the interview. The defendant denied any sexual relationship with Child 1, or having any text or social media communication with her.

The defendant stated that Child 1 did come to his office one to two times a week to visit with him. Within the two weeks prior to this interview, the defendant did go into the ISS room with Child 1 alone, stating they were looking for her backpack.

The defendant confirmed that within the last week, his Instagram account was "banned;" however, he did not know why. Throughout the duration of the interview, the defendant continued to deny any sexual contact or cell phone communications with Child 1.

Approximately one hour after the interview concluded with the defendant, he came to the Police Department to speak with Detective Enger, stating he wanted to provide additional information without Witness 11 present.

The defendant said he received a video from Child 1 on Instagram after she told him she had her eyes on him. The video was described as Child 1 "shaking her ass" while wearing a long black t-shirt and black thong underwear. He only responded with, "Oh."

Child 1 sent him a second video the following day described as her "shaking her ass" while wearing a white t-shirt and unknown colored thong underwear. He again responded back with, "Oh."

The defendant stated nothing else was sent and believed this is why his Instagram was banned. He then added Child 1 onto Snapchat his account and asked her why she sent the videos, because she got his Instagram banned.

Child 3's Safe Harbor

On June 2, 2025, Detective Jeremy Rademacher conducted a Safe Harbor Interview with Child 3 (16 years old) due to concerns brought up about the defendant buying her alcohol for underage parties in exchange for topless photographs. Child 3 is a friend of Child 1 and Child 2.

Child 3 stated earlier in the day prior to the interview on June 2, 2025, while at school, Child 2 was coordinating a cover-up for the incident. Child 2 told Child 3 through Snapchat to say if anyone finds the word "nudes" in your phone, tell them it was an autocorrect for the word "now."

Child 2 did a search of her own messages between her and the defendant. She searched for the words "nude" or "nudes" and six results showed up. Child 2 told Child 3 to remain quiet and not say anything.

Child 2 told Child 3 that the defendant had asked for nudes in the past, and if she was ever questioned about it, she would lie.

During the Safe Harbor interview, Child 3 described the defendant as "very touchy" and reported the defendant made sexually suggestive comments around her and other students including "big feet, big meat" (referring to penis size). When she discussed this with the defendant, he made the comment that he has "a big dick" and knows how to use it. He also

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

commented Child 3 liked "white chocolate" but she should try "dark chocolate" which Child 3 said was in reference to the defendant's skin tone.

and mentions of Child 3's ex-boyfriend having a "big dick," which he "knows how to use." The defendant would allow Child 3 to view the school surveillance camera system, other student's grades and schedules. The defendant would also photograph Child 3 on surveillance and send the images to her.

Child 3 revealed on Sunday, May 25, 2025, she messaged the defendant on Snapchat asking the defendant to buy her alcohol. The defendant responded that he would purchase her alcohol if she sent nude photographs of herself. Child 3 initially refused telling him she did not have any nude photographs of herself and she was with another person.

Gilkey-Meisegeier suggested Child 3 go into the bathroom, lift her shirt and take a photograph of herself. Instead, she sent the defendant a photograph which she already had on her phone of herself wearing a bra and underwear, and asked the defendant if that was "good enough."

The defendant "yes" and asked Child 3 what alcohol she wanted. Child 3 informed the defendant she would like Twisted Tea, Happy Thursdays, and Beat Boxes. Child 3 and the defendant made arrangements to meet in the Sun Prairie Woodman's grocery store parking lot.

The defendant gave Child 3 the alcohol she had asked for that he had purchased. The defendant later messaged Child 3 stating, "I better receive a tit pic tonight otherwise I will call the Police to come to the party."

Detective Rademacher later obtained video from Woodman's, located in the 1000 block of South Grand Avenue in Sun Prairie, which showed the defendant purchasing several packages of Twisted Tea and other flavored alcoholic beverages at 7:56 PM, on May 25, 2025. Video also confirmed Child 3 and Child 6 arriving in Child 6's vehicle before the defendant provided Child 3 with the alcoholic beverages.

Search Warrant

On June 2, 2025, Detective Ennis and Detective Miller served a search warrant in the 1000 block of Pine Street to obtain Child 2's cellphone. While at the front door of the residence, Detective Miller contacted Child 2 and her mother, Witness 7.

Detective Miller informed Witness 1 of the signed search warrant for the residence for Child 2's cell phone and provided her a copy of the warrant. Child 2 had been holding her cell phone in her hand, but during their contact put it in her pocket.

Detective Ennis asked Child 2 for her cell phone, and it was retrieved from her pocket. Detective Miller asked Child 2 for her passcode on the cell phone, which she provided. Detective Miller confirmed this passcode worked on her cell phone. The cell phone had a background photograph of Child 2.

On June 11, 2025, Detective Miller began a manual search on Child 2's cell phone. Detective Miller observed the following text message conversations between Child 2 and the defendant, who was saved as "Robbbbb" with a picture of the two of them together.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

April 9th, 2025

The defendant: I want to be in my bed ... humping

The defendant: I mean out here

Child 2: Bahahaha crazy

The defendant: Tum that play list on and have a dayyyyy

Child 2: Can't even do that don't got nb (nobody) to go home to *crying emoji*

The defendant: Ohhhh I got a place for ya

Child 2: I'm gonna just run to the store

The defendant: The store then my house

Child 2: Can't even do that

The defendant: Oh but we could

Child 2: Risky

The defendant: It's not public like ya mom said

Child 2: What you being messy forrrr

Child 2: If she see my lo (location) she gon trip

The defendant: Then I text her and be like my dog is sick, I had Sam go check on him cause I got a meeting

Child 2: She gon be like, so he's making you skip school, or she gon be like his wife couldn't do it

The defendant: She knows my wife works in the district, so she can't leave either! And it's not skipping, it's just not going right away to class

Child 2: Rightttttt

The defendant: Make the plan work the plan

Child 2: Clock it

The defendant: I'll clock something

Child 2: Oop

The defendant: Waiting on you

Child 2: Wait on me never that

The defendant: Always that

Child 2: Never that

The defendant: Never not been that

Child 2: Lie

The defendant: Nevaaaaa lie I'm always down

Child 2: Lie

The defendant: You tell me when

Child 2: Other way around

The defendant: Delusional

Child 2: Never that

The defendant: Alright then after your game

Child 2: Clock it

Child 2: How

The defendant: See never me, I got a big back seat and I have baseball tonight

Child 2: Talkin bout never your let's really talk about location

The defendant: Woodmans Apartments by Kwik trip Cabelas Costco Movie theater Baseball fields by bird st (cops sit there all the time tho)

Child 2: Any you say I'm delusional that's publis ash

The defendant: Tinted windows and lights off ain't nobody gone suspect nothing

The defendant: Walmart List goes on Mall

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

Child 2: Police ass places you act like ion be around town

The defendant: You act like ion either! What's more suspect, a random country street or a public parking lot

The defendant: Cause I know random country roads

Child 2: I can't with you *crying emoji*

The defendant: lol waiting on you

Child 2: Lies

The defendant: Come on dennn

Child 2: I got you

The defendant: Now that's more like it

Child 2: Shut up

The defendant: Lolololololol after the game follow me

Child 2: Ok

April 11th, 2025

Child 2: Like Ugh I'm a fucking virgin fuck this, never doing it again

The defendant: Now hold up, on that we have business to handle

Child 2: Nope virgin

The defendant: After tonight

Child 2: Nope over it.

The defendant: Drop.....off n come hang

April 12th, 2025

The defendant: Deleting shit and pressed the wrong button

Child 2: Oh lo!

The defendant: Don't mind me

Child 2: Okk

The defendant: Delete your shit too

Child 2: I been there did that, I be having to many people on mh phone

April 13th, 2025

Child 2: What's the plan

Child 2: Just dropped off the bags

The defendant: 2016 Tori Frost Drive, Madison WI 53718 (Roberts address)

The defendant: Also don't mention you've been there before

April 21st, 2025

The defendant: Tbh (To be honest), [Child 2], your actions have absolutely killed everything! Like the fact that 3 years of us got absolutely ripped apart over ... is just wild to me! I get that WAS your boyfriend (I'm not saying your boyfriend) for how ever many months and we have been through thick and thin for 3 years is crazy work.

May 24th, 2025

The defendant: I need a nude layer

The defendant: Later

Child 2: Shhhh

The defendant: Can't wait for it

The defendant: Can't wait for it

Child 2: lo!

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

The defendant: *four smirking emoji faces*

May 25th, 2025

The defendant: I'd just pull over a second let the cop past and get to where you need to go

Child 2: I'm at the hotel

The defendant: Perfect good plan

Child 2: Mhm

The defendant: Yes ma'am

Child 2: He was looking in my windows and searching like I was a fucking drug dealer

The defendant: Yeah that's how they be especially after auto Omarion Motion**

Child 2: Fuckign dumb

The defendant: Really is!!

Child 2: Mhm

The defendant: They give you a ticket?

Child 2: No

The defendant: Alight food!!!! Good/\ Nude!!

Child 2: Should come get pizza

The defendant: Should deliver one to my house

The defendant: Where's my pie????

Subsequent Statement from the Defendant

On June 3, 2025, the defendant came to the Sun Prairie Police Department to speak with investigators on his own accord. During this contact with the defendant, advised he was aware Detective Enger observed the word "nude" on his cell phone during the consensual search. The defendant began to explain that the word "nude" he sent to Child 3 was mistyped and he meant to type "now."

The defendant also admitted to purchasing alcohol for Child 3 on May 25, 2025. He stated he had messaged Child 3 to send him nudes in exchange for alcohol, but he was joking about it. The defendant admitted to receiving a photograph of Child 3 in a bathing suit after he made the joking request.

The defendant then purchased the alcohol for Child 3 and gave it to her in the Woodman's parking lot. When he texted Child 3 "40 nude", he meant to type "40 now," meaning she owed him \$40 for the alcohol. Child 3 did pay the defendant the \$40 at school and placed the money in his backpack in his office.

Child 5's Statement

On June 2, 2025, Officer Anderson conducted an interview with Child 5 (16 years old). Child 5 was crying, slating it was because 'It's over for Rob. they have everything." She clarified, stating the police confiscated his phone. Child 5 did not view her relationship with the defendant as inappropriate. She stated he has texted her that he loves her, buys food for her, sends her money for food and gas, and buy her gifts including recently a \$50 Visa gift card and \$15 Target gift card.

On Tuesday, June 3, 2025, Lieutenant Thomson spoke with Child 5. Lieutenant Thomson consensually searched Child 5's cellphone and observed conversations in Snapchat with the defendant.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

On Wednesday, June 4, 2025 Detective Rademacher spoke with Witness 11. Witness 11 stated she was in contact with Child 3 on Tuesday, June 3, 2025, throughout the school day. Child 3 told Witness 11 about a time the defendant body slammed her, and she had no defense against him because he was so strong.

Child 3 told Witness 11 she sent the defendant a "breast picture" through Snapchat in exchange for purchasing her alcohol.

Prior to going for the Safe Harbor interview, Witness 11 had seen on Child 3's cellphone the defendant had sent Child 3 through Snapchat three photographs of himself after the alcohol incident. One of the photographs was of the defendant shirtless.

Child 3 told Witness 11 she saw a nude photograph of Child 2, either on Snapchat or Instagram, which was shared between Child 2 and the defendant.

Continued Contact

On June 1, 2025, the defendant traveled to a business in the City of Sun Prairie, Dane County, Wisconsin. While there, he spoke with Child 2 and Child 5. This conversation occurred after he provided a statement to Detective Enger at the Sun Prairie Police Department. Later that day, Child 2 contacted Child 5 and encouraged her to tell law enforcement the mention of the word "nude" in conversations with "Rob" (the defendant) was supposed to be the word "now."

The following day, the defendant provided the same excuse to Detective Enger when explaining messages, he sent to Child 3 which contained the word "nude." This suggested the defendant was conspiring with victims in this case.

On Friday, June 13, 2025, the defendant attended the SPWHS graduation ceremony. The same day, an image appeared on social media which showed the defendant with his arm around Child 2 as she held her diploma.

Child 11's Statement

On Wednesday, June 4, 2025, Detective Nichols interviewed Child 11 (17 years old), a student at Deforest High School. Child 11 stated Child 3 told her she sent nude photographs to the defendant. Child 11 believed the photographs were likely sent through Snapchat. Witness 11 stated the defendant would frequently message Child 11 through Snapchat and tell her she looked good. The defendant used the Snapchat name "robgilkeyml" to message Child 11, and stated he has sent her shirtless photographs.

Child 12's Statement

On June 5, 2025, Officer Anderson was contacted by a female student at Sun Prairie High School, Child 12 (18 years old) stating the defendant contacted her through Instagram with a "fake account." The account used by the defendant was Instagram vanity name "Bronson" and an identified username starting with "brong" At 10:28 a.m., the defendant messaged:

"[Child 12] it's Rob! Can you tell me [Child 2]'s text now number." followed by "Also do not tell anyone I'm messaging you."

Child 12 provided her Instagram account username. Officer Anderson advised that Child 12 was a friend of Child 2, and has never been contacted by the defendant before.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

Cyber Tip

On Thursday, June 5, 2025, Detective Sergeant Pederson received a Cyber Tipline Report, reference number 213017375 through the National Center for Missing & Exploited Children (NCMEC). The tip was received by NCMEC on May 31, 2025. The tip was submitted by Instagram concerning online enticement of children for sexual acts.

The involved accounts were identified belonging to the defendant and Child 1.

Excerpts from the conversation on Instagram between the two above named accounts were included in the report, from May 17, 2025 to 18, 2025. The following are the provided messages:

- The defendant - May 17, 2025 at 03:49:45 UTC]
- Child 1 - May 17, 2025 at 03:51 :59 UTC] {We are unable to produce this image or text.}
- The defendant - May 17, 2025 at 03 :53:05 UTC] {We are unable to produce this image or text.}
- The defendant - May 17, 2025 at 03:53:08 UTC) Sexy
- Child 1 May 17, 2025 at 04:03:08 UTC] {We are unable to produce this image or text.}
- The defendant - May 17, 2025 at 04:04:29 UTC) I'm t:ryna hit
- Child 1 - May 17, 2025 at 04:14:55 UTC] Me too but I'm finna get in the shower
- The defendant May 17, 2025 at 04: 15:01 UTC] Bet
- Child 1 - May 17, 2025 at 04:21:18 UTC] Text you when I get out
- The defendant - May 17, 2025 at 04:27:08 UTC] Bet
- The defendant - May 17, 2025 at 05 :11:01 UTC] {We are unable to produce this image or text.}
- The defendant - May 17 at 05:13:04 UTC] Ayyy
- Child 1 - May 17, 2025 at 05 :25: 11 UTC] {We are unable to produce this image or text.}
- The defendant - May 17, 2025 at 05 :25:41 UTC] (two emojis)
- Child 1 - May 17, 2025 at 05:37:53 UTC] {We are unable to produce this image or text.}
- Child 1 - May 17, 2025 at 05:46:04 UTC] {We an: unable to produce this image or text.}
- Child 1 - May 17, 2025 at 06:11:39 UTC] { We are unable to produce this image or text.}
- Child 1 - May 17, 2025 at 09:30: 18 UTC] Good morning
- Child 1 - May 17, 2025 at 21:32:20 UTC] Robb
- Child 1 May 17, 2025 at 21:32:22 UTC] I got yea
- The defendant - May 17, 2025 at 23:06:38 UTC] Heyyyy sorry I've been cleaning
- The defendant - May 17, 2025 at 23:06:42 UTC] What's ya tea
- Child 1 – May 17, 2025 at 23:19:22 UTC] Ayy that's wsp
- Child 1 - May 17, 2025 at 23:21:06 UTC] Yk Carter
- The defendant - May 17, 2025 at 23:24:38 UTC] What he doing now...
- Child 1 - May 17, 2025 at 23:37:39 UTC] So what happened was be picked me up drove me to work right

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

- Child 1 - May 18, 2025 at 00:07:20 UTC] What didnt he do
- The defendant - May 18, 2025 at 00:07:43 UTC] Oh snap
- Child 1 - May 18, 2025 at 00:08:08 UTC] Are you busy today or sum

Digital Evidence

On Sunday, June 1, 2025, while conducting the initial interview with the defendant, he provided written consent for officers to physically search and conduct a full forensic data Detective Enger download of his cellphone, which he provided. During the initial physical search, observed his Snapchat account's "My Eyes Only" file to be password protected. Detective Enger asked the defendant for the password, however he stated he never set up the file. Based on training and experience, Detective Enger knew that to be a lie due to the setup screen appearing when selecting that file if the file was never setup, not the password screen on the defendant's account.

On Tuesday, June 10, 2025, UW-Madison Police Department Nicole Odom was assigned to complete the forensic data download and search of the defendant's cellphone and advised that the "My Eyes Only" folder within Snapchat contained over 3,000 images. Investigator Odom believed some of these images depicted child pornography.

At the time of this search warrant, only a small portion of the data on the defendant's cellphone has been searched. The data from within his Snapchat "My Eyes Only" file was provided to Detective Ennis and reviewed by Detective Ennis and Detective Enger.

Detective Enger observed what he estimated to be over 100 photographs of Child 2. In addition, Detective Enger observed what he estimated to be well over 100 photographs of Child 2's face that was edited on to various naked female bodies doing various sexual acts.

Detective Enger observed hundreds of photographs of various unidentified females, both nude and clothed, that appeared to be under 18 years old.

Detective Enger observed dozens of photographs of clothed female bodies with the focal point being the vagina, buttocks, or foot area. A majority of the photographs were identified to be taken in what appeared to be the Sun Prairie West High School, including within the defendant's office. The subjects of the photographs appeared to be female students. Some of the photographs were of the female students on the school's surveillance camera system.

Detective Enger observed dozens of photographs of the defendant, many of which were him showing his penis or buttocks.

Additionally, the following subjects were identified in the photographs. This is not a comprehensive list of all photographs within the file of these subjects. The upload date was obtained from the metadata of the photographs believed to be when the photographs were obtained.

Child 3

- Filename ending in "57440a.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 3 in a bikini. Upload date 05/ 19/2024.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

- Filename ending in "69332.deerypted" is a photograph of Child 3 wearing a bra and underwear. Upload date 04/17/2025.
- Filename ending in "b2ad98.deerypted" is a photograph of Child 3 wearing a bikini, standing next to another female of approximately the same age wearing a bikini, believed to be Child 12. Upload date 05/19/2024
- Filename ending in "393cde6.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 3 in a swimming suit. Text on the photograph identified it as a screenshot from Child 3's Instagram account. Upload date 06/30/2024.
- Filename ending in "6e56ef52.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 3 in a bikini. Upload date 09/26/2024.

Child 5

- Filename ending in "97797.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 5. Upload date 07/10/2024.
- Filename ending in "2b6340.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 5. Upload date 02/01/2025.
- Filename ending in "6ef52.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 5. Upload date 09/26/2024.
- Filename ending in "656cf52.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 5 and a juvenile female believed to be Child 4. Upload date 09/26/2024.
- Filename ending in "a8c57f6.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 5 that appeared to be a screenshot from Instagram. Upload date 07/03/2024.
- Filename ending in "4b79174.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 5. Upload date 07/03/2024.
- Filename ending in "0cd6e2.decryptcd" is a photograph of Child 5. Upload date 11/19/2024.

Child 2

- Filename ending in "a37dc224.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 2. Text on the photograph identified it as a screenshot from Child 2's Instagram account. Upload date 03/26/2024.
- Filename ending in "elaeb5.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 2. Text on the photograph identified it as a screenshot from Child 2's Instagram account. Upload date 03/26/2024.
- Filename ending in "a86b57a7.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 2. Text on the photograph identified it as a screenshot from Child 2's Instagram account. Upload date 03/26/2024.
- Filename ending in "28clcf.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 2 lifting her sweatshirt and exposing her abdominal area. Upload date 05/01/2025.
- Filename ending in "64a2l74.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 2 wearing a bra. Upload date 05/18/2024.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

- Filename ending in "Of09e1.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 2 sticking her tongue out with text "Goodnight Robert." Upload date 06/02/2024.
- Filename ending in "a8b.dccrypted" is a photograph of Child 2 that appears to be screenshot from Snapchat. Upload date 04/15/2024.
- Filename ending in "de7d3d.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 2 wearing a bra. Upload date 04/05/2024.
- Filename ending in "a3a29a.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 2 exposing her abdominal area. Upload date 02/21/2025.
- Filename ending in "e5bac06.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 2 sticking her tongue out. The image was a screenshot of what appeared to be a video call, with a photograph of the defendant in the top corner. Upload date 07/12/2024.
- Filename ending in "93fa25597.decrypted" is a photograph of Child 2. The image appeared to be a screenshot from Child 2's Instagram. Upload date 04/23/2025.
- Filenames IMG_3145 through IMG3154 are 9 photographs and 1 video of cellphone showing images and video of Child 2 wearing a bikini top on Snapchat. All image upload dates were 05/24/2025.

School and Gym Images

The defendant's private file included 195 images which appeared to be taken without the knowledge of the depicted females. The angle of many of the images was also not suggestive of the defendant's telephone being held in a manner consistent with taking a photo and the females shown are not looking toward the photographer. Image "de1da6.decrypted.jpg" is an image in which the camera lens is angled toward the floor, rather than toward the seated female whose legs were photographed. These images primarily focused on the legs/feet, buttocks, or breasts of teenage females.

Many of the images were taken of surveillance monitors within Sun Prairie West High School or a gym. An example of a type of this image, "034bc8.decrypted.jpg" which shows a teenage female in a bathing suit and bare feet exiting a bathroom or locker room. The glare in the image is consistent with a monitor being photographed. Moreover, the camera angle is from above, which is consistent with the location of a security camera.

Image "0ccb8c3.decrypted.jpg" is another example of a photograph from a surveillance monitor. In this image, the female is facing away from the security camera. She is wearing short, tight shorts and a sports bra. The flooring is consistent with that of an Anytime Fitness. During the investigation Detective Ennis learned the defendant previously worked at Anytime Fitness.

Early Pubescent Nude Images – Child 10

Detective Ennis located 47 images of early pubescent females who are exposing either their breasts, vagina, or both. Of the 47 images, 39 of them appear to be the same early pubescent female, Child 10. It was later learned Child 10 who was depicted in the series of 39 images was a known victim of child sexual material (CSAM), and the images came from a series of videos in which she was sexually extorted.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

Detective Ennis located mention of the name “[Child 10]” in the notes section of the defendant’s cellular phone. The link led to an image of over 800 thumbnails of an underage female. Investigator Odom noted that most of the images were explicit.

While reviewing content of the “For My Eyes Only” Snapchat folder from the defendant’s cellular phone, Detective Ennis located several images which depicted Child 10.

The following are a list of the images located:

IMAGE #	FILE NAME ending in	DESCRIPTION
1	6977c5b.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (body structure and facial development) with right breast exposed and hair cascading over her left breast. Limited breast development.
2	55668d6.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (body structure) holding a cellular phone which is pointed forward. She is standing upright, fully nude. Her vagina and breasts are exposed. Limited breast development.
3	598b5a62.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (body structure, facial development) looking toward camera which she is holding above herself, angled downward. Her right nipple is covered by an animated heart, and her left nipple is visible. Limited breast development.
4	2bda0eb3.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (body structure, facial development) looking toward the camera. She has no clothing above her mid-section, both breasts are exposed. Limited breast development.
5	5cdd217c66.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (body structure) standing upright. An animated smiley face is covering her right nipple, her left nipple and top of her pubic area are exposed. Limited breast development.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

6	512d6490c.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (body structure) looking toward camera. The frame captures her nude torso, chest and face. Both breasts are exposed. Limited breast development.
7	297cb08fa.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (body structure) facing camera, standing upright in a shower. The frame captures her vagina, torso, chest and shoulders. Limited breast and pubic hair development.
8	24e11b.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (body structure and facial development) with breasts exposed. There is an animated dog's nose over her nose, and an animated dog's ear on her head. She is giving the 'peace' sign with her left hand. Limited breast development.
9	9d825c0.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial structure) with right breast exposed. She is holding her left hand to her mouth and looking toward the camera. Limited breast development.
10	2d26b.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (facial structure), standing upright. She is looking toward the camera with her tongue sticking out. Both breasts are visible. Limited breast development.
11	66ba920.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (body structure, facial development) seated, looking toward camera. Her legs are open, exposing her vagina. Limited breast and pubic development.
12	f2597ac234.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (body structure), standing upright in a changing room, holding a cellular phone. She is lifting her white t-shirt, exposing her breasts. Limited breast development.
13	bcd67f0c.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (body structure) standing upright, looking toward camera. She is wearing transparent underwear

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

		which exposes her breasts and pubic area. Limited breast development.
14	41aabdb.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (facial structure) looking downward toward camera which is held just below her exposed vagina. Limited breast development.
15	5bf9a9.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (body structure, facial development) on her knees, looking toward the camera. Her tongue is stuck out and her left hand is on the linoleum floor. Limited breast development.
16	7056fd3f.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial structure) with her right hand behind her head. There are animated dog ears and a nose over her face. Limited breast development.
17	f8357f7e.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (body development, facial structure, muscle definition). She is standing upright with her hands on her hips. Limited breast development and pubic hair.
18	1470bdb.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development) looking toward the camera. She has a tie-dyed shirt pulled up, exposing her breasts. Limited breast development.
19	25b338.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure, muscle definition) looking toward the camera. Limited breast development.
20	efedfec.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) looking toward camera. There is an animated heart and pink paws over her throat. Limited breast development.
21	4fbc0d70.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) looking downward toward camera. Limited breast development.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

22	59e7e1b.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (body structure, facial development) seated in a bathtub. She is looking toward the camera with her left hand near her mouth. Limited breast development.
23	8e839ee.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development) looking toward camera. Her left hand his over her head and breasts exposed. Limited breast development.
24	f96b49d.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) looking toward camera with no clothing above her waist. Limited breast development.
25	4d81ee4.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (body structure, muscle tone/development) seated, looking toward camera. Animated surgical style mask over mouth. Limited breast development limited pubic hair growth.
26	17eedd42.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) looking toward camera with no clothing above her waist. Limited breast development.
27	47dd79c.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) looking away from camera with no clothing above her waist. Limited breast development.
28	e29e889.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) looking toward camera with her left arm raised above her head. The name 'RUBEN' is written on her skin, across her breasts and above her pubic area, in black marker. Limited breast development.
29	88106d2.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) looking toward camera, seated, in a bathtub. A monkey emoji covers her right breast. Pubic area visible.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

30	4813.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) looking downward toward camera. Her left hand is clutching her throat. Limited breast development.
31	310110f.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) looking to her right. Her left hand is over her head. There are two animated, yellow bows on her left hand. Limited breast development.
32	9878cb604c.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure, muscle definition) looking toward the camera with her tongue out. Several animated stars and an animated sheep around the perimeter. Limited breast development.
33	10f53.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (facial development, body structure, muscle development) seated in bath tub, looking toward camera. Animated dog nose and ears on her face. Limited breast development.
34	9241.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development) looking to her left, with her left hand in her brown hair. Limited breast development.
35	0b4bf.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) looking downward toward camera. Limited breast development.
36	ff8694.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (body structure and facial development) with breasts exposed. There is an animated dog's nose over her nose, and an animated dog's ear on her head. She is giving the 'peace' sign with her left hand. Limited breast development.
37	5b016d.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure, muscle definition) standing upright, near a dresser, with her tongue out. Limited breast

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

		development.
38	f1e1636df4a.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure, muscle development) taking photo of herself, looking toward camera, looking away from bathroom mirror. Buttocks and breasts visible. Limited breast development.
39	6fcd08fc.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) kneeling on floor with gray t-shirt pulled above her bare breasts. She is looking toward camera with her tongue out and her left hand on her vagina. Limited breast development.
40	535b1.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) with glasses, looking to her right. Her left hand is over her head. Limited breast development.
41	1ce350.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) looking toward camera. Her left hand is open with her palm facing upward. Limited breasts development.
42	499847.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure, muscle definition) standing upright with gray pants or shorts around her ankles. Animated hearts over either of her breasts. She is inserting the handle of a maroon colored hairbrush in her vagina.
43	be4930.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) looking toward camera with her pointer and middle fingers on either side of her open mouth. Her tongue is sticking out. Limited breast development.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

44	89d3b04.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure, muscle definition) standing upright with gray pants or shorts around her ankles. Animated hearts over either of her breasts. She is inserting the handle of a maroon colored hairbrush in her vagina.
45	4db63e51.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (body structure, muscle development) seated in bathtub. Animated hearts over either of her breasts. Her right hand is touching her vagina.
46	0cf691.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (facial development, body structure, muscle development) seated in bath tub, looking toward camera. Animated dog nose and ears on her face. Limited breast development.
47	a0cf691.decrypted.jpg	Nude, early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) seated in a bathtub. An animated dog's nose and ear are over her likeness. She has limited breast development.
48	0d3b0.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (facial development, body structure) topless, with animated hearts covering her nipples.
49	4890e9.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (seen in 35 images within this series) looking toward camera with mouth open. No breasts or genitalia exposed.
50	bbd7.decrypted.jpg	Early pubescent female (seen in 35 images within this series) topless, grasping left breast with her hand. Her right breast is covered with an animated heart. 'RUBEN' is written in black marker across her chest. No breasts of genitalia exposed.

Venue

Your complainant is aware these incidents occurred in the Dane County, Wisconsin.

STATE OF WISCONSIN - VS - Robert Edward Gilkey-Meisegeier

****THIS COMPLAINT IS BASED ON** the information and belief of your complainant, who is a Court Officer for the Sun Prairie Police Department, and who learned of the above offense from the reports of Sun Prairie Police Detective(s) Cody Ennis, Daniel Enger, Gary Nichols, Makenzie Miller, Detective Sergeant Chris Pederson and Lieutenant Raymond Thomson, which report your complainant believes to be truthful and reliable inasmuch as they were prepared during the course of the officer(s) official duties.

Further, your complainant believes the information furnished by witness(es) to be truthful and reliable inasmuch as they are citizen informant(s) and witnessed the events described.

Your complainant believes the statements of the Defendant(s) to be truthful and reliable insofar as they are admissions against penal interest.

Subscribed and sworn to before me on Electronically Signed By:

07/28/25

Sarah Heling

Electronically Signed By:

Complainant

Kasey M. Deiss

Assistant District Attorney

State Bar #: 1065778